

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,643 12/12/2001		Thomas C. Terwilliger	S-96,583	7287
	7590 08/25/2004 EXAMINER			
	Y OF CALIFORNIA SNATIONAL LABORA	ATORY		
P.O. BOX 1663 LOS ALAMOS		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

S

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/017,643		TERWILLIGER, THOMAS C.		
Examiner		Art Unit		
Ardin Marschel		1631		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>04 June 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three **TIME PERIODS**: (1) **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) **TWO MONTHS** from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. **EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136**.

1. [\boxtimes		rief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper ng or in the proper order.
2. [rief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the aled claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3. [st one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a nent of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4. [\boxtimes		rief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page ne number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5. [The b	rief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6. [A sing	gle ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
(a)		e brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall gether, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
(b)		e brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall gether, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7. [The bi	rief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8. [The b	rief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9. [Other	(including any explanation in support of the above items):

Item # 1: An appeal in copending serial no. 09/512,962 contains related issues.

Item # 4: Several significant limitations in the claims under appeal were not referred to in the specification regarding page and line numbers. For example, in lines 4-5, of the SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION section the cited page 7, lines 5-8, does not describe a set of structure factors. In lines 8-10 of said section page 7, lines 16-28, is cited for prob. dist. as claimed, however, this section states this as a "log" liklehood which is not a limitation in claim 1. Also said page 7, lines 16-28, does not cite repeating practice which is present in claim 1. Page 8, lines 10-16, cited in said section lacks description of the "all of the plurality" limitation as in step (h), nor the step (k) limitation. Claims 3-5 also not described as to page and line number in said section.

AN Markaush (Yayof